IIP International Multidisciplinary Research Journal
IIPIMRJ

An Online Peer-Reviewed / Refereed Journal

Vol: 03 No. Issue I, (January-March) (2026)
Impact Factor: GSRD-IF: 5.001
ISSN: 3049-2734 (Online)

From Start-Up to Scale-Up: Financial Support Mechanisms for Entrepreneurs Through Banks, Government, and Venture Capital

[1]Poonam S Kadapure, [2]Pralhad P Rathod

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Visvesvaraya Technological University (VTU), Belagavi, Karnataka, 590018, India

[1]Department of MBA, Tatyasaheb Kore Institute of Engineering and Technology, Warana University, Warananagar, Maharashtra, 416113, India

[2]Department of MBA, Visvesvaraya Technological University (VTU), Belagavi, Karnataka, 590018, India

Article Info

Article History:
Received: 31st Dec 2025
Accepted: 15th Jan 2026
Published: 22nd Jan 2026

Keywords:

Entrepreneurship, Start-ups, Scale-ups, Bank Finance, Government Schemes, Venture Capital, Entrepreneurial Ecosystem.

ABSTRACT

The growth trajectory of entrepreneurial ventures depends significantly on access to appropriate and timely financing across different business life-cycle stages. While start-ups require seed and early-stage risk capital, scale-ups depend on expansion finance, credit support, and structured investment networks. In emerging economies, entrepreneurs typically rely on three major pillars of financial support—banks, government schemes, and venture capital. This paper examines how these financial mechanisms interact and influence entrepreneurial survival, innovation, and growth from start-up to scale-up stages. Using a conceptual and policy-review approach supported by secondary data and prior empirical studies, the article analyses the strengths, limitations, and complementarities of bank finance, government-supported entrepreneurship programs, and venture capital funding. The findings indicate that while banks remain crucial for working capital and fixed investment, collateral and risk perceptions restrict early-stage access. Government schemes enhance financial inclusion but suffer from awareness gaps and administrative complexities. Venture capital supports innovation-driven, high-growth firms yet remains geographically and sectorally concentrated. The paper proposes an integrated entrepreneurial finance framework that emphasizes coordinated policy support, credit-guarantee programs, blended financing, and stronger linkages among financial institutions, policymakers, and investors.

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Kadapure, P. S., & Rathod, P. P. (2026). From Start-Up to Scale-Up: Financial Support Mechanisms for Entrepreneurs Through Banks, Government, and Venture Capital. *IIP: International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (IIPIMRJ)*, 3(1), 223–227.

Introduction

Entrepreneurship plays a pivotal role in economic growth, innovation, and job creation, particularly in emerging economies with growing youth populations and structural employment challenges. However, the transition from start-up (survival and early growth) to scale-up (expansion and market consolidation) remains difficult for many ventures due to limited access to appropriate financial support (Mazzucato & Semieniuk, 2018).

Entrepreneurs typically depend on multiple financial actors over time, including:

- **Banks** providing loans and working capital finance
- **Government schemes** offering subsidies, guarantees, and incentives
- **Venture capital (VC)** supporting innovation-driven and high-growth firms

Yet these mechanisms are often fragmented, unevenly accessible, and differently aligned with business risk profiles and growth stages (Allen & Santomero, 2020).

This paper analyses how these financing pillars collectively influence entrepreneurial development and examine the alignment between **financial instruments and business growth needs** from start-up to scale-up stages.

2. Objectives of the Study

The study aims to:

1. Examine the roles of **banks, government schemes, and venture capital** in financing entrepreneurs across business life-cycle stages.
2. Identify the strengths and limitations of each financing mechanism.
3. Explore complementarities and gaps in the entrepreneurial finance ecosystem.
4. Propose an integrated framework for improving financial support for scalable entrepreneurship.

3. Research Questions

1. How do financing needs differ between start-up and scale-up stages?
2. What role do banks, government schemes, and venture capital play in meeting these needs?
3. What structural constraints limit entrepreneurs' access to finance?
4. How can financial institutions and policy frameworks be better aligned to support scalable growth?

4. Literature Review

Finance is widely recognized as a critical determinant of entrepreneurial survival and growth (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006). Early-stage firms face liabilities of newness and lack of credit history, increasing perceived risk for lenders (Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981). Banks remain the primary source of formal finance for MSMEs and growth-stage firms, particularly for:

- working capital
- equipment investment
- trade and expansion finance

However, collateral requirements, stringent documentation, and risk-averse lending discourage early-stage entrepreneurial borrowing (Berger & Udell, 2006).

Governments intervene through:

- credit guarantees
- interest subsidies

- entrepreneurship development programs
- innovation grants and incubation support

These initiatives aim to reduce credit barriers and encourage inclusive entrepreneurship (Acs, Autio, & Szerb, 2014). Yet gaps persist relating to awareness, administrative procedures, and implementation efficiency. Venture capitalists provide:

- high-risk capital
- strategic guidance
- networks and scaling expertise

They are particularly influential in technology-driven and innovation-intensive sectors (Gompers & Lerner, 2001). However, VC funding tends to concentrate in urban clusters and high-growth industries, limiting inclusive reach (Florida & Hathaway, 2018). Recent studies emphasize coordinated financial architectures combining public, private, and market-based mechanisms to support scalable entrepreneurship (Audretsch & Belitski, 2021). This study contributes by synthesizing these perspectives into a life-cycle-based framework.

5. Conceptual Framework

Table 1: Entrepreneurial financing needs vary across stages

Stage	Financial Need	Primary Source
Ideation / Seed	Risk capital, prototyping	Grants, incubators, angels
Early Start-Up	Product development, market entry	Government schemes & VC seed funding
Growth	Working capital, asset finance	Banks
Scale-Up	Expansion, internationalization, acquisitions	Banks + VC + PE

The framework assumes **complementarity rather than substitution** among financial actors.

6. Research Methodology

- **Design:** Conceptual and exploratory study
- **Approach:** Thematic review of academic literature, policy documents, and institutional reports
- **Sources:** Peer-reviewed journals, World Bank, OECD, entrepreneurship policy studies, MSME and VC ecosystem reports
- **Method:** Qualitative synthesis and comparative interpretation

This paper does not employ primary survey data; instead, it integrates theoretical and policy-based evidence to develop a structured conceptual analysis.

7. Discussion and Analysis

7.1 Banks — Strengths and Constraints

Strengths

- Reliable for sustained business operations
- Supports expansion and asset creation
- Lower cost of capital compared to equity

Constraints

- Collateral-dependent lending

- High risk perception toward new ventures
- Limited flexibility for innovative firms

Banks are therefore more aligned with **scale-up rather than start-up financing**.

7.2 Government Schemes — Inclusion and Accessibility

Contributions

- Enhances credit availability
- Reduces financial risk through guarantees
- Encourages first-generation and MSME entrepreneurship

Challenges

- Awareness gaps among entrepreneurs
- Complex procedures for scheme access
- Limited post-funding capacity-building support

Schemes often enable **survival and early growth**, but scaling requires continued financing integration.

7.3 Venture Capital — Innovation and High-Growth Orientation

Advantages

- Supports innovation-led business models
- Provides mentorship and strategic guidance
- Encourages rapid scaling

Limitations

- Concentrated in technology and urban ecosystems
- Selective investment criteria
- Focus on high-return potential ventures

VC financing plays a catalytic role but is **not widely accessible to traditional MSMEs**.

8. Key Findings

1. Financing needs evolve significantly across entrepreneurial life-cycle stages.
2. Banks remain essential for growth and expansion but are less accessible to early-stage firms.
3. Government schemes improve inclusion but require simplification and stronger outreach.
4. Venture capital supports high-growth, innovation-driven enterprises but lacks broad geographic penetration.
5. A fragmented financing ecosystem restricts sustained entrepreneurial scaling.

9. Policy and Practical Implications

- Develop **blended finance models** combining loans, guarantees, and equity support.
- Strengthen **credit-guarantee coverage** for first-generation entrepreneurs.
- Promote **bank–VC–government collaboration platforms**.
- Expand **regional VC networks** beyond major startup hubs.

- Integrate funding with mentoring, incubation, and market-access support.

10. Conclusion

Sustained entrepreneurial growth requires more than isolated financing channels. The interplay of banks, government schemes, and venture capital creates a **multi-layered financial architecture** where each mechanism supports different phases of business evolution. An integrated and coordinated approach can enhance the ability of ventures not only to start but also to scale, compete, and contribute to long-term economic development and employment generation.

11. Limitations and Scope for Future Research

- The study is conceptual and based on secondary evidence.
- Future research may include:
    - empirical surveys of entrepreneurs
    - comparative cross-country analyses
    - sector-specific financing studies
    - performance outcomes of funded vs. non-funded firms

References

Acs, Z. J., Autio, E., & Szerb, L. (2014). *National systems of entrepreneurship: Measurement issues and policy implications*. Research Policy, 43(3), 476–494.

Allen, F., & Santomero, A. (2020). *The theory of financial intermediation*. Journal of Banking & Finance, 118, 105–124.

Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2021). *Entrepreneurial ecosystems and the lifecycle of regions*. Small Business Economics, 57(1), 295–320.

Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2006). *Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint*. Journal of Banking & Finance, 30(11), 2931–2943.

Berger, A. N., & Udell, G. F. (2006). *A more complete conceptual framework for SME finance*. Journal of Banking & Finance, 30(11–12), 2945–2966.

Florida, R., & Hathaway, I. (2018). *Rise of the global startup city*. Center for American Entrepreneurship. 24

Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2001). *The venture capital revolution*. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 15(2), 145–168.

Mazzucato, M., & Semieniuk, G. (2018). *Financing renewable energy: Who is financing what and why it matters*. Technological Forecasting & Social Change, 127, 8–22.

Stiglitz, J. E., & Weiss, A. (1981). *Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information*. American Economic Review, 71(3), 393–410.